FILED by SL D.C.

Jan 15, 2016

STEVEN M. LARIMORE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA. -MIAMI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 16-14001-CR-MARTINEZ/LYNCH Case No.

7 U.S.C. § 136/(b)(1)(B) 18 U.S.C. § 1001 18 U.S.C. § 2

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

SUNLAND PEST CONTROL SERVICES, INC., GRENALE WILLIAMS, and CANARIE DEON CURRY,

Defendants.

INFORMATION

The United States Attorney charges that:

COUNT 1

Using A Restricted Use Pesticide In A Manner Inconsistent With Its Labeling (7 U.S.C. § 136l(b)(1)(B))

From on or about June 1, 2015 and continuing through on or about August 16, 2015, in Martin County, within the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendants,

SUNLAND PEST CONTROL SERIVCES, INC., GRENALE WILLIAMS, and CANARIE DEON CURRY,

being a commercial applicator of a restricted use pesticide under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, knowingly used Zythor, a registered and restricted use pesticide, in a manner inconsistent with its labeling, to wit:

- (A) Prior to the application of Zythor:
 - (1) failing to provide the required Zythor Fumigant Structure Occupant Fact

 Sheet to an adult occupant of the structure to be fumigated;
 - (2) failing to open all operable interior doors, openings to rooms, attics, subareas, storage rooms and closets; and
 - (3) failing to shut off natural gas service to the structure at the main service valve.
- (B) Subsequent to Application of Zythor and During Aeration and Clearance:
 - (1) failing to have two persons trained in the use of the restricted use pesticide, present on site from the first opening of the tent seal until the securing of the structure at the end of the initial aeration period;
 - (2) failing to use a required, approved respiratory protection device to enter the fumigated space at the first opening of the tent seal and during the aeration procedure when the concentration of the restricted use pesticide within the breathing zone of the fumigated space is unknown;
 - (3) failing to aerate the fumigated space with all operable exterior windows and doors open, aided by the use of one or more fans capable of displacing at least 5,000 cubic feet of air per minute, for a minimum of one hour;
 - (4) failing to post standard warning signs around the fumigated structure during the removal of the tent and ensuring those warning signs remain posted until aeration was complete and final clearance for re-occupancy was given; and

(5) failing to conduct a required clearance of the fumigated structure following a complete aeration procedure by sampling the air within the breathing zone of the fumigated space with an approved and properly calibrated Low Fumigant Level Detection Device until measured levels of the restricted use pesticide were less than one part per million within the breathing zone of the fumigated space.

(C) Clearance and Re-Occupancy Notification:

- (1) hanging a clearance and re-occupancy notice on the front entrance to the fumigated structure without completing the mandated aeration and clearance procedure; and
- (2) failing to post the clearance and re-occupancy notice on all other exterior doors to the fumigated structure.

All in violation of Title 7, United States Code, Sections 136*l*(b)(1)(B), 136*j*(a)(2)(G), 136*l*(b)(4); and Title 18, United States Code, Section and 2.

COUNT 2 False Statement (18 U.S.C. § 1001)

From on or about August 20, 2015 and continuing through on or about August 27, 2015, in Martin County, within the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

SUNLAND PEST CONTROL SERVICES, INC.,

in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, that is, the Environmental Protection Agency, did knowingly and willfully make materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and representations, in that the defendant submitted information in two signed affidavits, other documents, and oral representations to the Florida

Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Agricultural Environmental Services, during an investigation of the misapplication of restricted use pesticides resulting in an alleged poisoning stating that: 1) the restricted use pesticide Vikane was used, when in truth and in fact, a different restricted use pesticide, Zythor, was used to fumigate the home; and 2) that the fumigation, aeration, and clearance of the home had been conducted in accordance with the manufacturer's labeling requirements, when in truth and in fact, and as the defendant then and there well knew, the label requirements were not followed for either the restricted use pesticide the defendant claimed it used (Vikane) or the restricted use pesticide it actually used (Zythor).

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1001 and 2.

WITREDO A FERRER

TAITED STATES ATTORNEY

Jodi A. Mazer

Special Assistant U.S. Attorney

Thomas Watts-FitzGerald

Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:16-cr-14001-JEM Documscutthern District Court Docket 01/19/2016 Page 5 of 9

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		CASE NO.	
vs.		CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY*	
SUNLAND PEST	CONTROL SERVICES, INC., et al.	CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY	
	Defendant/	Superseding Case Information:	
Court Division:	(Select One)	New Defendant(s) Yes No	
Miami FTL	Key West X FTP	Number of New Defendants Total number of counts	
I do he	ereby certify that:		
1.	I have carefully considered the probable witnesses and the legal	allegations of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto.	
2.	I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. Section 3161.		
3.	Interpreter: (Yes or No) List language and/or dialect		
4.	This case will take0_ days for the parties to try.		
5.	Please check appropriate categor	ry and type of offense listed below:	
	(Check only one)	(Check only one)	
 V V:	0 to 5 days 6 to 10 days 11 to 20 days 21 to 60 days 61 days and over	X Petty	
6. If yes:	Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No No		
Judge:	n copy of dispositive order)	Case No.	
Has a c If yes:	omplaint been filed in this matter?	(Yes or No) No	
Magistrate Case No. Related Miscellaneous numbers: Defendant(s) in federal custody as of Defendant(s) in state custody as of Rule 20 from the		District of	
	a potential death penalty case? (Yes		
7.		natter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to Yes X No	
8.	Does this case originate from a r September 1, 2007?	matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to Yes X No Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo	
		JODVA. MAZER	

SPECIAL ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Court ID# 0186503

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, does not include possible fines, restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: GRENALE WILLAMS
Case No:
Count #: 1
Using A Restricted Use Pesticide In A Manner Inconsistent With Its Labeling
Title 7, United States Code, Section 136l(b)(1)(B)
* Max. Penalty: 1 Year Imprisonment
Count #:
*Max. Penalty:
Count #:
Count #:
*Max. Penalty:

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, does not include possible fines, restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: CANARIE DEON CURRY
Case No:
Count #: 1
Using A Restricted Use Pesticide In A Manner Inconsistent With Its Labeling
Title 7, United States Code, Section 136l(b)(1)(B)
* Max. Penalty: 1 Year Imprisonment
Count #:
*Max. Penalty:
Count #:
Count #:
*Max. Penalty:

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, does not include possible fines, restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

AO 455 (Rev. 01/09) Waiver of an Indictment

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

United States of America v. SUNLAND PEST CONTROL SERVICES, INC., Defendant) Case No.)))
WAIVER OI	F AN INDICTMENT
year. I was advised in open court of my rights and the na	more offenses punishable by imprisonment for more than one ature of the proposed charges against me. prosecution by indictment and consent to prosecution by
Date:	Defendant's signature
	Signature of defendant's attorney
	Craig Lawson, Esq. Printed name of defendant's attorney
	Judge's signature
	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Judge's printed name and title